1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7 8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
10	GEORGE W KYNISTON,		
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 11-cv-06072 JRC	
12	v.	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S STIPULATED	
13	MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,	MOTION FOR REMAND	
14	Defendant.		
15			
16	, i	U.S.C. § 636(c), Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and Local	
17	Magistrate Judge Rule MJR 13. (See also Notice of	of Initial Assignment to a U.S. Magistrate	
18	Judge and Consent Form, ECF No. 7; Consent to I	Proceed Before a United States Magistrate	
19	Judge, ECF No. 8.) This matter is before the Court	t on defendant's stipulated motion to remand	
20	the matter to the Commissioner for further conside	eration. (ECF No. 21.)	
21	After reviewing defendant's stipulated mot	tion and the relevant record, the Court grants	
22	defendant's motion, and reverses and remands this matter pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C.		
23	§ 405(g) to the Commissioner.		
24			

1	Following remand to the Commissioner of Social Security, the Appeals Council will		
2	remand this case to an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") to hold a new hearing, issue a new		
3	decision, and:		
4	1)	Ensure the adjudicated period in the hearing decision reflects the claimant's updated	
5		date last insured of June 30, 2013;	
6	2)	Give the claimant an opportunity to submit updated evidence in light of the updated	
7		date last insured;	
8	3)	If warranted by the expanded record, consider obtaining medical expert testimony	
9		from a neurologist or other physician;	
10	4)	Reconsider whether or not the claimant meets or medically equals a listing;	
11	5)	If warranted, reconsider the claimant's residual functional capacity, and in so doing,	
12		further evaluate the opinion evidence from David R. Rullman, M.D., and Oisin	
13		O'Neill, M.D.;	
14	6)	Reassess the lay witness testimony of Cheryl Kyniston in accordance with Social	
15		Security Ruling 06-03p;	
16	7)	Reconsider the claimant's subjective complaints; and	
17	8)	If warranted, seek supplemental vocational expert testimony to determine whether or	
18		not there are a significant number of jobs in the national economy that the claimant	
19		can perform.	
20	The parties stipulate that this case be reversed and remanded to the ALJ on the above		
21	grounds pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). The parties agree that reasonable		
22	attorney fees and costs will be awarded pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. §		
23	2412(d), following proper request to the Court.		
24			

1	Given the facts and the parties' stipulation, the Court hereby orders that the case be
2	REVERSED and REMANDED pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).
3	Dated this 23rd day of October, 2012.
4	Thank watur
5	J. Richard Creatura
6	United States Magistrate Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	